**Dear Editor,**

Thank you so much for taking the time for this manuscript. Your valuable comments improve the manuscript significantly. We have addressed the comments as below.

Editorial comments:  
1. Long Abstract: Do you mean the ‘Shockley-Queisser limit’?

R: We have corrected the typo.

2. 1.1.1: Can you double-check your proportions here? I don’t see how this is a 3:1 solution, especially if you mean to use 4 gallons of H2SO4.

R: We have double-checked through the entire manuscript and minimize the error.

3. 5.6/5.7: What is ‘Dimond’ here for?

R: It is a typo and we have remove it.

4. Currently your protocol exceeds 2.75 pages, which is our limit for filming and video length reasons. Please highlight 2.75 pages or less of the protocol, including headers and spacing.

R: We have highlighted the protocol for filming.

5. Discussion: This should largely be written to inform someone who wishes to replicate your protocol; therefore, please include more information on critical steps in the protocol, modifications, troubleshooting methods, and limitations.

R: We have revised the discussion section.